SUBJECT No. 45.

Limitation for execution of a decree.

Messrs. [illegible] Iyengar, Obalappa, Ramaswami Ane[illegible]ar, and Narasimhaiya, Ar[illegible]kal taluk, and Ramanna, [illegible]ekal Municipality.

Summary of discussion—Pray that the three years limitation now allowed for the execution of the civil court decrees, may be kindly extended till the said decrees are finally satisfied.

Reply of Government—Cannot be done.

SUBJECT No. 46.

Civil court registers.

Mr. H. Krishnappa, Law Association, Bangalore.

Summary of discussion—A separate register for all applications, except copy application in civil courts, may be maintained.

Reply of Government—The nature of the applications of which the member wants entries to be made in the register may be intimated.

SUBJECT No. 47.

Official assignees and receivers.

Mr. H. Krishnappa, Law Association, Bangalore.

Summary of discussion.—Official assignees and receivers may be appointed for each civil court to take charge of insolvent debtors' property.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 48.

Revision of process establishment.

Mr. K. Ramanna, Hole-Narsipur taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Amins and process servers in civil courts, who are entrusted with the recovery of amounts of decrees are at present poorly paid though their duties are very onerous. For this and other reasons, it is prayed that the salaries of amins and process servers be fixed at Rs. 14-1-20 and Rs. 8-1-14 respectively.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration of Government.

SUBJECT No. 49.

Civil court processes.

Messrs. C. Narahárayya, Ruddrappa, and D. S. Krishna Rao, Nelamangala taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The processes in civil cases are not properly served for want of supervision. Pray therefore that some stationary taluk officer may be entrusted with the work of such supervision.

Reply of Government.—Cannot be done as disciplinary power over the process establishment cannot be given to officers in taluks.

SUBJECT No. 50.

Stamp duty for entry in Special Notice Register of property mortgaged to co-operative societies.

Mr. Venkataramana Setti, T.-Narsipur taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The present rule, which requires the payment of one rupee in the shape of stamps to get an entry made of the property mortgaged to co-operative societies in the Special Notice Register opened in the taluk cutcherry, works as a hardship. The Government have been pleased to order that no stamp duty need be levied on the transactions of co-operative societies. Pray the same rule be applied in the case above mentioned.

Reply of Government.—Under the consideration of Government.

SUBJECT No. 51.

The use of impressed stamp papers.

Messrs. Revanasiddia, Gurulingappa and Halappa, Honnali taluk.

Summary of discussion.—No limit of time is placed at present for the use of impressed stamp papers purchased from stamp vendors. As this has led to the preparation of ante-dated documents for deceiving innocent persons